



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,749	05/27/2005	Maki Onuma	00862.023339,	4500
5514	7590	03/09/2009	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			MILIA, MARK R	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10112			2625	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/09/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/536,749	Applicant(s) ONUMA, MAKI
	Examiner Mark R. Milia	Art Unit 2625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2009.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-7 and 9-13 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-7 and 9-13 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 January 2009 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 1/5/09 and has been entered and made of record. Currently, claims 1-2, 5-7, and 9-13 are pending.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 1/5/09. These drawings are accepted.
3. Applicant's amendments to Figures 1 and 9 and to the specification have overcome the objections set forth in the previous Office Action. Therefore the objections have been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/5/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that Yoshikawa fails to disclose or suggest at least moving a reading unit and a reference white board to a document reading position when the reading unit executes document reading, and moving the reading unit and the reference white board to a retreat position in which the influence of ambient light is reduced at

least upon execution of prescanning, as is recited in independent Claims 1 and 6. Nor does Yoshikawa disclose or suggest at least moving a reading unit and a reference white board to a document reading position when the reading unit executes document reading, and moving the reading unit and the reference white board in a direction deviated from a color material discharging direction at least when the printing unit executes printing, as is recited in independent Claims 10 and 11. The examiner agrees that Yoshikawa does not disclose prescanning, however, Yoshikawa does disclose all of the other limitations as recited above. Particularly, Yoshikawa shows in Drawing 6 that line scanner unit **19** and white unit **20** with attached white reference board **20a** are in a shunting position, a home or retreat position (paragraphs 35 and 45). Further, Drawing 7 shows the line scanner unit **19** and white unit **20** with attached white reference board **20a** in the reading position (paragraphs 37-39 and 46). Thus, a reading unit (line scanner unit **19**) and a reference white board (mylar white reference board **20a** attached to white unit **20**) to a document reading position when the reading unit executes document reading, and moving the reading unit and the reference white board to a retreat position in which the influence of ambient light is reduced.

Applicant also asserts that Maitani (US 6,975,435) can not be combined with Yoshikawa as no motivation exists. The examiner respectfully disagrees as it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Yoshikawa and Maitani because both references deal with scanning using white references boards. Further, Maitani was used mainly to show the prescanning is well known and commonly used in the art.

Therefore, the rejection of claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 9-13 as set forth in the previous Office Action is maintained and repeated in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. Claims 1-2 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Document No. 11-187212 to Yoshikawa, as cited in the IDS dated 9/16/06, reference will be made to a computer translation that is hereby attached to this Office Action, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,975,435 to Maitani et al.

Regarding claim 1, Yoshikawa discloses an image printing and reading apparatus comprising: a printing unit to print an image on a print sheet conveyed through a print sheet path (see paragraphs 11, 13 line 2, and 27), a reading unit to read a document conveyed through a document path, having a common part belonging to said print sheet path and said document path (see paragraphs 31 and 33, line scanner unit **19**), a reference white board for shading correction used by said reading unit (see paragraphs 37 line 6-9 and 39, white reference board **20a** attached to white unit **20**), and a moving unit to move said reading unit and said reference white board to a document reading position when said reading unit executes document reading, on the other hand, to move said reading unit and said reference white board to a retreat position in which the influence of ambient light is reduced (see Drawings 6 and 7 and

paragraphs 8-9, 29, 34-35, 40-41, and 45-46, reference shows that the reading unit and the white board move between a shunting/home/retreat position and a reading position based on whether reading or recording is taking place).

Yoshikawa does not disclose expressly prescanning.

Maitani discloses a reference white board for shading correction used by said reading unit upon execution of prescanning (see Fig. 4, column 7 lines 35-37, and column 9 lines 17-27) and wherein said reading unit and said reference white board are in a position in which the influence of ambient light is reduced at least upon execution of prescanning (see column 9 lines 17-49).

Regarding claim 6, Yoshikawa discloses a scanning method in an image printing and reading apparatus including a printing unit to print an image on a print sheet conveyed through a print sheet path (see paragraphs 11, 13 line 2, and 27), a reading unit to read a document conveyed through a document path, having a common part belonging to the print sheet path and the document path (see paragraphs 31 and 33, line scanner unit **19**), and a reference white board for shading correction used by the reading unit (see paragraph 37 line 6-9, white reference board **20a** attached to white unit **20**), said method comprising: a step of moving the reading unit and the reference white board to a document reading position when the reading unit executes document reading, and to move the reading unit and the reference white board to a retreat position in which the influence of ambient light is reduced (see paragraphs 8-9, 29, 34-35, 40-41, and 45-46, reference shows that the reading unit and the white board move between a

shunting/home/retreat position and a reading position based on whether reading or recording is taking place).

Yoshikawa does not disclose expressly prescanning.

Maitani discloses a reference white board for shading correction used by said reading unit upon execution of prescanning (see Fig. 4, column 7 lines 35-37, and column 9 lines 17-27), wherein said reading unit and said reference white board are in a position in which the influence of ambient light is reduced at least upon execution of prescanning (see column 9 lines 17-49), and a step of executing the prescanning when said reading unit and said reference white board are in said retreat position (see column 9 lines 17-27).

Yoshikawa & Maitani are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, a combination printing and scanning device utilizing a reference white board for correction of light quantity.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the prescanning, as described by Maitani, with the system of Yoshikawa.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide a basis or initial value based on a white reference board (prescanning) to provide a more accurate shading correction as the light source is moved to read the document.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Maitani with Yoshikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1 and 6.

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Yoshikawa further discloses wherein the retreat position is a position within a casing of said image printing and reading apparatus and away from said document reading position (see paragraphs 8-9).

7. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshikawa in view of Maitani and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,528,788 to Yamamoto et al.

Regarding claim 10, Yoshikawa discloses an image printing and reading apparatus comprising: a printing unit to print an image on a print sheet conveyed through a print sheet path (see paragraphs 11, 13 line 2, and 27), a reading unit to read a document conveyed through a document path, having a common part belonging to said print sheet path and said document path (see paragraphs 31 and 33, line scanner unit **19**), a reference white board for shading correction used by said reading unit (see paragraph 37 line 6-9, white reference board **20a** attached to white unit **20**), a moving unit to move said reading unit and said reference white board to a document reading position when said reading unit executes document reading, and to move said reading unit and said reference white board in a direction deviated from a color material discharging direction at least when said printing unit executes printing (see paragraphs 8-9, 29, 34-35, 40-41, and 45-46, reference shows that the reading unit and the white board move between a shunting/home/retreat position and a reading position based on whether reading or recording is taking place).

Yoshikawa does not disclose expressly prescanning and a detection unit to detect occurrence of jam in the common part belonging to said print sheet path and said document path, wherein if said detection unit has not detected the occurrence of jam, said reading unit executes the prescanning.

Maitani discloses a reference white board for shading correction used by said reading unit upon execution of prescanning (see Fig. 4, column 7 lines 35-37, and column 9 lines 17-27), and executing the prescanning when no occurrence of a jam has taken place (see column 9 lines 17-27).

Yamamoto discloses a detection unit to detect occurrence of jam in the common path belonging to said print sheet path and said document path; wherein if said detection unit has not detected the occurrence of jam, said reading unit executes (see column 7 line 13-column 8 line 6).

Regarding claim 11, Yoshikawa discloses a scanning in an image printing and reading apparatus including a printing unit to print an image on a print sheet conveyed through a print sheet path (see paragraphs 11, 13 line 2, and 27), a reading unit to read a document conveyed through a document path, having a common part belonging to the print sheet path and the document path (see paragraphs 31 and 33, line scanner unit **19**), a reference white board for shading correction used by the reading unit (see paragraph 37 line 6-9, white reference board **20a** attached to white unit **20**), said method comprising: a step of moving the reading unit and the reference white board to a document reading position when the reading unit executes document reading, and moving the reading unit and the reference white board in a direction deviated from a

color material discharging direction to a retreat position at least when the printing unit executes printing (see paragraphs 8-9, 29, 34-35, 40-41, and 45-46, reference shows that the reading unit and the white board move between a shunting/home /retreat position and a reading position based on whether reading or recording is taking place).

Yoshikawa does not disclose expressly prescanning and a step of performing the prescanning when the reading unit and the reference white board are in said retreat position, and a step of detecting occurrence of jam in the common part belonging to the print sheet path and the document path, wherein if the occurrence of jam has not been detected, the prescanning is executed.

Maitani discloses a reference white board for shading correction used by said reading unit upon execution of prescanning (see Fig. 4, column 7 lines 35-37, and column 9 lines 17-27), a step of executing the prescanning when the reading unit and the reference white board are in the retreat position (see column 9 lines 17-27), and executing the prescanning when no occurrence of a jam has taken place (see column 9 lines 17-27).

Yamamoto discloses a step of detecting occurrence of jam in the common part belonging to the print sheet path and the document path, wherein if the occurrence of jam has not been detected, the scanning is executed (see column 7 line 13-column 8 line 6).

Yoshikawa, Maitani, & Yamamoto are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, combination printing and scanning devices.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the detection of a paper jam, as described by Yamamoto and which is well known and commonly used in the art, the prescanning, as described by Maitani, with the system of Yoshikawa.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to ensure system efficiency operability by detecting paper jams and to provide a basis or initial value to allow proper shading correction as the light source is moved to read the document.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamamoto and Maitani with Yoshikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claims 10-11.

8. Claims 5, 9, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshikawa and Maitani as applied to claims 1, 2, 6, and 7 above, and further in view of Yamamoto.

Maitani discloses executing the prescanning when no occurrence of a jam has taken place (see column 9 lines 17-27).

Yoshikawa and Maitani does not disclose expressly a detection unit to detect occurrence of jam in the common part belonging to said print sheet path and said document path.

Yamamoto discloses a detection unit to detect occurrence of jam in the common part belonging to said print sheet path and said document path, wherein if said detection unit has not detected the occurrence of jam, said reading unit executes (see column 7 line 13-column 8 line 6).

Yoshikawa, Maitani, & Yamamoto are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, combination printing and scanning devices.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the detection of a paper jam, as described by Yamamoto and which is well known and commonly used in the art, with the system of Yoshikawa and Maitani.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to ensure system efficiency operability by detecting paper jams and to provide a basis or initial value to allow proper shading correction as the light source is moved to read the document.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamamoto with Yoshikawa and Maitani to obtain the invention as specified in claims 5, 9, 12, and 13.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571)272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached at (571) 272-7437. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia
Examiner
Art Unit 2625

/Mark R. Milia/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625